



Jennifer Brightbill
Draft Chair, BMDCA
15860 S Lucky Lane
Oregon City, OR 97045
(503) 358-1071
(503) 631-3320 fax
jbrightbill@me.com
1Nov10

Dear BMDCA Member,

You submitted proposals for changes you'd like to see to the Draft program. Over the last two years, the Draft judges have been working on these proposals. Now is your chance to shape the final form your proposals take. Attached is the executive summary of the proposed changes. The complete discussion and history of each proposal may be found on the BMDCA website: <http://www.bmdca.org/Draft/>

Please navigate to the "Draft Regulations" area and click on the "RFC3 Active" and "RFC3 Inactive" files.

Regarding the numbering, proposals were numbered for ease of reference. Some proposals advanced some did not, but they retained their original numbering.

Regarding the length of the on-line documents, several judges expressed frustration, believing their comments are not given consideration. To demonstrate that each and every comment was read and considered multiple times, we have made the process as transparent as possible. All comments are shown. In the "Taskforce discussion" sections we show how those comments steered the discussion. Where one set of opposing comments was selected over another, we explain how the decision was reached. This transparency has greatly increased the length of the documents. Nonetheless, for those who participated in the process, seeing that participation directly reflected stage by stage more than compensates for the increased length.

Make your voice heard! The Taskforce (TF) and DWC will use your comments to determine the merits and alternatives of each proposal. Simply commenting "I like this" does not explain how the proposal will benefit the program. Therefore, please share your insights on how a proposal will specifically benefit or detract from the program as opposed to whether you like it or not.

There is a notable departure from the RFC process in, "**Item #4 Revisions to Regulation Change ("RFC") Process**", where the TF had to leave one word blank in the proposed regulatory change. In the event that a fourth round is required, the TF could not reach agreement whether this 4th round should go just to the Draft judges or all members, including the judges. Recognizing that a 4th round would be the exception and not the rule, your comments now will help determine whether you have a final voice on proposed 4th round changes or not. You'll find position papers on these alternatives in the online text. Please remember, we need to know why you favor one outcome over the other.

Please submit your comments no later than 5Mar11. By email: BMD@iWindsurf.com

RFC Taskforce:

Phil Atkinson, Head
Barb Gibson

Draft Work Committee:

Jennifer Brightbill, Chair
Alison Jaskiewicz
Eden Jonas
Ruth Nielsen
Jerry Pixton